Ipswich Mills Dam Removal EENF Public Meetings September 13 & 14, 2023

od Farm 📛

- Project Purpose
- Background
- Proposed Project
- Env Impacts and

Assessments Proposed Design

Project Team: Town of Ipswich IRWA DER HW

True North Ale Company

Ipswich

Topsfield Rd

Choate Bridge Pub

Line prook Rd

Ipswich Ale Brewery

Information/ Comments All project updates, FAQ's, and documents posted at: Ipswichmillsdam.com

How to submit a comment on the MEPA filing...

- Search for "MEPA Environmental Monitor" <u>https://eeaonline.eea.state.ma.us/EEA/MEPA-eMonitor/home</u>
- Nicholas Moreno (MEPA Analyst)
 <u>Nicholas.Moreno@mass.gov</u>
- Neil Shea (IRWA)
 <u>nshea@ipswichriver.org</u>
- Frank Ventimiglia (Town of Ipswich) <u>frankv@ipswichma.gov</u>



Project Area



Purpose - Why Dam Removal ?

- The dam is over 100 years old and no longer serves purpose for which it was built
- Incurs maintenance costs and liability for Town
- Detriment to river ecology -
 - Impedes fish and other aquatic organism passage
 - Impedes natural sediment transport
 - Degrades water quality in impoundment
- High restoration value for removal
 - 90th percentile for dams across the state (MA DER)
 - 95th percentile for coastal dams from Maine to Virginia (Nature Conservancy)
- Significant funds are available to help dam owners remove dams like this for municipal vulnerability improvement and restoration purposes











Existing Conditions Photos







Horsley Witten Group, Inc.



Background - Completed Studies

- 2006 Site Reconnaissance for Ipswich River Dams
- 2014 Pre-Feasibility Study
 - preliminary analysis of:
 - Flooding
 - EBSCO Foundation
 - Sediment Quality
- 2014 Bathymetric Survey
 Elevation of river bed upstream of dam









Background - Feasibility Study 2019

Goal – To provide the Town with enough information to decide whether or not to further pursue removing the dam

- Historical context of the dam site
- Existing conditions mapping
- Analysis of water levels and flows in a dam-out scenario considering effects on flooding, fish passage, and ecology
- Investigation of potential impacts to EBSCO building.
- Evaluation of potential impacts to other structures
- Conceptual plans and renderings
- Conceptual cost estimates









Background - Post Feasibility Study

- Subsurface Investigations of EBSCO Structural Stability 2020 & 2021
- Hydrologic Study of Anticipated Groundwater Conditions in EBSCO Vicinity Post Dam Removal 2021
- Permit Level H&H Analyses and Design 2022-2023.



Feasibility Study - Historical

- Rich cultural history from PaleoIndian Period through Modern Period. Sea run fish important cultural component.
- At least 3 dams at site (Ca 1636, 1828, 1880 rebuilt 1908)
- 1928 Ipswich Mills closes
- 1982 Town of Ipswich
- Dam adjacent to, but not in, two historic districts listed in National Register
- Section 106 Historical process in future permitting
- Mitigation typically includes archaeological survey, permanent historic record, archival photography & public interpretive items.



Feasibility Study - Tidal Conditions





rsley Witten Group, Inc.



Detailed H&H Modeling

- Flooding Impacts either positive or none
- Fish passage Greatly improved
- Paddling accessibility Improved at dam & remain passable under all modeled conditions elsewhere
- Sediment migration downstream migration spread over large area
- Structural impacts Minimal erosion potential at retaining walls near dam addressed in design with stabilization. No modeled scour at bridges or other bank locations.



H & H Modeling

Low flow tidal influence







Figure 19. Predicted low-flow water surface profiles for existing (top) and dam-out (bottom) conditions during high tide

H& H Modeling

Flood Impacts:

- Water levels decline above dam & remain same below dam
- Choate Bridge currently is & remains restriction for large
 events







Figure 12. Water Surface Elevation Profile Along Path of Feasible Low Flow and Low Tide Passage



Figure 22. Profile of Anticipated Sediment Loss in the Vicinity of Ipswich Mills Dam

Orange: profile of predicted sediment transport



Figure 23. Approximate Extents of Anticipated Coarse Sediment Transport Immediately Upstream of Ipswich Mills Dam

Horsley Witten Group, Inc. 🚺



Orange: area of predicted sediment transport



Figure 20. Existing (left) and Proposed (right) Channel Velocity During 2-Year Flow

Blue:	no sediment transport expected (0-2 fps)		
Yellow:	transport of silt is feasible (2-5 fps)		
Maroon:	transport of silt, sand, and gravel is feasible (5 fps or greater)		



Figure 25. Sediment Settling Areas During the Low Tide, 5% Flow (left) and Low Tide, 95% Flow (right)

Blue: settling of all suspended sediment is feasible (0-0.15 fps)



Potential EBSCO Impacts

• EBSCO impacts assessment by structural engineer SGH, Inc.

- Wooden pilings & at what elevation?
- Settlement?
- Concern from 2014 Pre-Feasibility Study based on -age of buildings -compressible soils south end
- Field studies 2016,2018,2020
- 2 in-river test pits
- 9 geotechnical borings
- 2 Rounds of Geophysics







Horsley Witten Group, Inc.

EBSCO Facility





Horsley Witten Group, Inc. 🙀



Two Exploratory Test Pit Locations, Excavated from River. 田田田

staff star, shes here \$100.00

-

T

Potential EBSCO Impacts

- Exterior borings and test pits indicate perimeter foundation supported on competent till or bedrock
- Exterior and interior geophysics (GPR & seismic) indicate structural grid holding building interior up via columns. Material type of subsurface columns could be concrete or timber
- Interior test pit planned 2023 to determine column material



Evaluation of Other Structures





Existing Conditions Plan



Access and Staging Plan



Demolition Plan



(n feet) 1 INCH = 30 FEET

C-6

Restoration Plan



Prepared by JMP				
2/16/2023				
TOTAL LIMIT OF WORK		87,300	SF	
	Alteration		Notes	
Resource Area	Temporary	Permanent	Most alterations will be Temporary (i.e.within limit of work).	
	(SF)	(SF)	Permanent impacts are those in which resource areas are converted, lost, or from which structures are removed.	
Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW)	0	+7,110		
Bank (linear feet)	560	-30	Predicted bank length is slightly less than length of existing retaining wall and EBSCO Building	
Land Under Water (area below OHW or MHW)	70,760	-7,110	Converted to BVW	
Flood Zone (BLSF)	1,730	0	Construction access path	
Riverfront Area				
Total RA altered within 0-100 feet	1,730	0	Construction access path	
Total RA altered within 100-200 feet	2,370	0	Staging area	
Total Riverfront Area altered	4,100	0	0% of total existing RA will be permanently altered	
Buffer Zone				
altered from 0- 50 feet	1,440	0		
altered from 50-100 feet	290	0	Construction access path	
Total Alteration 0-100 feet	1,730	0	Equivalent to RA altered from 0-100	
	Area	Volume		
Dredging & Filling (below OHW)	(SF)	(CY)		
Dredging	-5,230	-440	Removal of dam and fishway; relocation of boulders and cobbles	
Filling	2,960	170	Relocation of boulders and cobbles	
Net Dredge/Fill	-2,270	-270		





Anticipated Permitting

- MEPA Ecological Restoration Notice of Project Form
 - Not within 1 Mile of EJ
 - No NHESP Estimated or Priority Habitats
- Ecological Restoration NOI
 - Dam Removal
 - Fish Passage (Listed on DMF Technical Reports)
 - Tidal Restoration
- Combined MassDEP 401 WQC/ Ch 91 Permit
- USACE Section 404/ Section 10
- Section 106 Historical
- CZM Consistency
- ODS Determination / Permit
- FEMA LOMR?



Conceptual Rendering



NOVEMBER, 2018



interfluve

Conceptual Rendering



B 50% Exceedance Flow, Low Tide at Elevation 3.8' (NAVD88)
 C 95% Exceedance Flow, Low Tide at Elevation 2.8' (NAVD88)

IPSWICH DAM REMOVAL FEASIBILITY STUDY CROSS SECTION OF CONCEPTUAL DAM REMOVAL DESIGN

NOVEMBER, 2018









Horsley Witten Group, Inc.





CONDITION DEPICTED: HIGH FLOW (ONLY EXCEEDED 5% OF THE TIME) & HIGH TIDE

(APPROXIMATE RIVER ELEVATION 5.8 FEET NAVD88)



CONDITION DEPICTED: AVERAGE FLOW (EXCEEDED 50% OF THE TIME) & LOW TIDE

(APPROXIMATE RIVER ELEVATION 3.8 FEET NAVD88)



CONDITION DEPICTED: LOW FLOW (EXCEEDED 95% OF THE TIME) & LOW TIDE

(APPROXIMATE RIVER ELEVATION 2.8 FEET NAVD88)

- For reference, the elevation of top of wall is 12.73 feet (NAVD88)
- Por reference, the elevation of viewing platform is 13.46 feet (NAVD88)

EENF



- Cover Letter
- Environmental Notification Form
- Form attachments (RMAT Climate Tool, EJ Map Printout, etc.)
- Project plans
- Project narrative
- Appendices
 - 2019 Feasibility Study
 - Updated H&H Study
 - 2020 Geophysical Investigation Memo
 - 2021 Geophysical Investigation Memo



Permitting Pathway



- Obtain MEPA Certificate
- Submit NOI (Ipswich Con Comm)
- Submit Ch. 91 (DEP) (Must have proof of NOI submittal), WQC (DEP) and 404 (USACE)
- ODS Permit (anytime)
- MHC Project notification form anytime
- FEMA
- NEPA only if federal funding and lead federal partner
- Fishway Permit (DMF) after contractor chosen
- NPDES filed by contractor at end



Information/ Comments All project updates, FAQ's, and documents posted at: Ipswichmillsdam.com

How to submit a comment on the MEPA filing...

- Search for "MEPA Environmental Monitor" <u>https://eeaonline.eea.state.ma.us/EEA/MEPA-eMonitor/home</u>
- Nicholas Moreno (MEPA Analyst)
 <u>Nicholas.Moreno@mass.gov</u>
- Neil Shea (IRWA)
 <u>nshea@ipswichriver.org</u>
- Frank Ventimiglia (Town of Ipswich) <u>frankv@ipswichma.gov</u>

